Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/559,820	RONN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jonathan C. Weber	3641
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) <u>Jonathan C. Weber</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Georg Hasselmann</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 September 2009	Time: <u>1:30PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
, ,		
Claims discussed: Claim 1, 5, 7, and 13		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed an amendment to the independent claim to place the application in condition for allowance as follows: Claim 1 "A device in combination with a liner having a hemispherical shape with a front side of the liner having a concave surface" and deleting the phrase "and wherein the explosive pre-charge or pre-charges are attached to an interior surface of the liner." The applicant countered with the following amendment: "A device in combination with a liner having a shape with a rear convex surface and a front concave surface" and deleting the same phrase from claim 1. The examiner accepted the proposed amendment from the attorney. Claims 5, 7, and 13 are to be amended to change "convex" to "concave" and in claim 5 "facing" is replaced with "extending". Both parties agreed to the amendments and the application is now in condition for allowance.